

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/693,633	BEDA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eric V Woods	2672	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Eric V Woods.

(3) _____.

(2) Albert Michalik (37395).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 January 2005

Time: 11:45am EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

36-37

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 37 was written incorrectly such that it was dependent on claim 26, where in fact it should be dependent on claim 36; examiner was clarifying this for purposes of writing a first Office Action on the case.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)